

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/675,714	09/29/2003	Yuji Horie	NMCIP042	6324		
22434	7590 05/10/2006		EXAMINER			
BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS LLP			AHMED, SHAMIM			
P.O. BOX 70250 OAKLAND, CA 94612-0250			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1765	-		
			DATE MAILED: 05/10/2006	DATE MAILED: 05/10/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					1 /			
		Application N	ō. A	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/675,714	F	HORIE ET AL.				
		Examiner	•	Art Unit				
		Shamim Ahme		765				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the cov	er sheet with the cor	respondence addr	ess			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR A CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IN THE MAILING IN THE MAILING IN THE MONTHS From the mailing date of this communicat to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory into the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS ( CFR 1.136(a). In no event, ho tion. period will apply and will expi y statute, cause the applicatio	COMMUNICATION.  owever, may a reply be timely  re SIX (6) MONTHS from the  n to become ABANDONED (	y filed mailing date of this com (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	27 February 2006.						
′=		This action is non-f	inal.					
3)	· <u> </u>							
	closed in accordance with the practice un	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>	, 1935 C.D. 11, 453	O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the applic	cation.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9-15</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requi	rement.					
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
10)[	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[	accepted or b) a	bjected to by the Ex	aminer.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be he	ld in abeyance. See 3	7 CFR 1.85(a).	•			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by t	the Examiner. Note the	ne attached Office A	ction or form PTO	)-152.			
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	oreign priority under 3	35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d	d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority docu	ıments have been re	ceived.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority docu	ıments have been re	ceived in Application	No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	e priority documents	have been received	in this National St	lage			
	application from the International E	•	· • •					
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified	copies not received.					
<b>A</b> 44 1-								
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	ДΓ	7 Intendess Surrey (2)	TO 442)				
	æ of References Cited (PTO-692) æ of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9	48)	Interview Summary (P <sup>-</sup> Paper No(s)/Mail Date.	·				
3) 🔲 Infon	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/ r No(s)/Mail Date	SB/08) 5)	Notice of Informal Pate Other:	ent Application (PTO-1	52)			

Application/Control Number: 10/675,714

Art Unit: 1765

### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 2/27/06 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yoshizawa et al (JP-404362397) as evidenced with Article METADI diamond suspensions.

Yoshizawa et al teach a composition comprises diamond grain cluster of less than 100 angstroms (<10 nm) in average size, reads on the claimed particles diameters in the range of 1-10 nm and the diamond particles are dispersed in water-based aqueous solution (see the abstract).

Art Unit: 1765

Yoshizawa et al teach that the roundish diamond grain inherently includes corners as evidenced by the Diamond suspensions article.

In the above, it is the examiner position that the roundish is not completely round.

The intended use of the composition used as a polishing slurry for texturing a surface of a magnetic hard disk is not given patentable weight and even given the patentable weight, Yoshizawa et al's composition is exactly same as the instant invention and the composition is capable of texturing the disk as a polishing slurry.

4. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kendall et al (US 2003/0194961 A1).

The preamble limitation of "polishing slurry for texturing a surface of a magnetic hard disk substrate" is not given patentable weight because a preamble is generally not accorded any patentable weight where it merely recites the purpose of a process or the intended use of a structure, and where the body of the claim does not depend on the preamble for completeness but, instead, the process steps or structural limitations are able to stand alone. See In re Hirao, 535 F.2d 67, 190 USPQ 15 (CCPA 1976) and Kropa v. Robie, 187 F.2d 150, 152, 88 USPQ 478, 481 (CCPA 1951).

Kendall et al teaches the use of abrasive particles of mono or polycrystalline diamond particles having average particles size of .0001-300 micrometer, which meets the claimed range, wherein the abrasives are dispersed in liquid aqueous medium (see paragraphs 0031-0032).

Kendall et al also disclose the abrasive particles may have different shapes including corners (paragraph 0035).

Additionally, the monocrystalline diamond particles inherently include corners.

Kendall et al also teach surfactants may be used in the composition, wherein the surfactant can be non-ionic surfactant (paragraph 0038).

#### Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. JP-08007264 A teaches a slurry for polishing magnetic recording medium, wherein the slurry includes single-crystalline diamond less than 3 micrometer particle diameter (abstract); Komaki et al (5,071,708) disclose diamond grain particles have corners or edges.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shamim Ahmed whose telephone number is (571) 272-1457. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thu (7:00-5:30) Every Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nadine G. Norton can be reached on (571) 272-1465. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/675,714

Art Unit: 1765

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Shamim Ahmed Primary Examiner Art Unit 1765

SA May 5, 2006